Approved For Release 2001/11/16: CIA-RDP57-99384R00050007095-6

Consultant Services

19 February 1953

OGC Has Reviewed

SUSPLAMING Other Chi.I. Collitical and Esychological warfare

THE LUMBE

Reputy irector/Plans

eputy drector//dministration

9 E.3 / X

willice of Coneral Counsel

(1.5%

25X1A

TENTOT:

- Consultant Pay

properly receive compensation as a consultant with the Agency without relinquishing his disability retirement pay as a Lieutenant Colonel for those days in which consultation was performed. It is assumed that the retirement was for physical disability not incurred in combat with an enemy of the United States nor resulting from an explosion of an instrumentality of war.

2. This question has been the subject of detailed consideration by this office in the light of pertinent decisions by the Comptroller General. The controlling legislation is found in jection 212 of the Economy act of June 30, 1932, 47 ftat. 406, as amended, 5 U. S. C. 59a. That section is concerned specifically with situations involving pursons in receipt of retired pay from the United States for or on account or services as a commissioned officer in the armed forces who by appointment or election hold civilian offices or positions under control of the United States Covernment, and its purpose is to probabit the concurrent receipt by a person so situated, of retired pay incident to his comis ioned service and the compensation of the civilian office or position held, if the combined rate thereof exceeds 33,000 per annum. The Comptroller General in a decision dated keember 29, 19hd, reported at 20 Comp. Gen. 301, stated that where the nature of the duties required of the consultant " * * a is usely advisory, cenerally performed at inframent inter als, and the componention ayeble therefor is upon a fee basis, as distinguished tros a purely time basis, the status of the employee is not such as would constitute the holding of an office or position within the contemplation of section 212 s a c s " and would therefore permit the acceptance of fees without relinquishing retired pay for those days on which the consultation was performed. The decision further set forth that " article for one of the enumerated elecants is considered as determinative of the matter. In the contrary, the absence of any one of such liesents is sufficient to take a particular case out of the rule enunciated

25X1A



- J. For further clarification, reference is made to an unpublished decision of the Comptroller General, dated October 19,
 1951. No. B-105707, wherein a favorable ruling was made on an
 inquiry concerning a retired Maval officer employed by this agency
 as a consultant specialist in naval aspects of national intelligence estimates. It was therein stated" ** * * that the consultant services of . . . / the officer . . when called upon
 from time to time are purely advisory and rather infrequent.
 Also, that such consultations normally do not require more than
 one day but in the event they should extend over into the next
 day . . . / he . . . would only be entitled to one fee of \$50."
- is. It should be observed, however, that the Comptroller General's decisions do not reveal the meaning of the words which say that the consultant duty required is "generally performed at infrequent intervals." No guide is given as to whether this means once every three months or once every three days. The General Commel to the Comptroller General has given no indication of where the dividing line might lie but he has indicated informally that ten to twelve days a month may be considered as performance at infrequent intervals.
- 5. In summary, if duties we ld be truly intermittent and purely advisory with compensation on a fee basis per somewhation, he sould accept the fees without relinquishing his retired pay for those days on which consultation was performed. If further discussion or clarification is desired please advise us.

25X1A

OGC/OEP:cd (19 February 1953) Distribution -

1 - DD/P

1 - DD/A

4 - OGC

SECURITY INFORMATION